10:33:42 p.m. 06-03-20		M PDT -> Clerk of LA Superior Cou	rrt 21362-244 Pg12/22
DB/03/16 10:	301	m ppi => Clerk of LA Superior coo	M C 2100203244 Fg12722
			FEE WAIVER PENDING
		W of	Flead in Formac Pauparis (GRC 3.50, et seq.) p y order detect:
	1	Brent J. Borchert (State Bar No. 223917) 15260 Ventura Blvd Suite 1420	Amount recoverable pursuant to GC \$88837_
	2	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403	Separior: Count of Californian country (GC. 3010) 5, 086
	3	Telephone: (310) 991-8635 Facsimile: (310) 773-9230	JUN 0 6 2016
	4	Attorney for Petitioner RONALD AUSTIN	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
	,5		Shauhya Bolden Deputy
	6	,	,
	7	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	8	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES
	9	RONALD AUSTIN.	Case No. B S 1 6 2 6 8 5
]	10	Petitioner and Plaintiff,	Case No.
	11	vs.	VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE PURUSANT TO CALIFORNIA
	12	LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT; and	PUBLIC RECORDS ACT AND
	13	DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF
	14	Respondents and Defendants.	C2. V
	15		of aber
	16		J. W.
	17	Petitioner and Plaintiff Ronald Au	ustin, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure
	18	§ 1085, Government Code § 6258 (the California	a Public Records Act ("CPRA")), and Article I
	19	Section 3 of the California Constitution alleges,	
	20		<b>i.</b>
	21	PAR	TIES
	22	2. Petitioner and Plaintiff RONALD	AUSTIN (hereinafter "AUSTIN") is a resident
	23	of the State of California, County of San Bernard	dino.
14.4	24	3. Respondent and Defendant LOS	ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT
Ģ,	25	(hereinafter "LAPD") is a municipal entity organ	nized under the laws of the State of California.
J	26	Respondent OCSD is an agency covered under t	
€	27	Code, § 6252, subd. (a).)	
	28		
		_	1-
		PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

23

22

24 25

26 27

Petitioner and Plaintiff is currently unaware of the true names and capacities of those Respondents sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive. Petitioner and Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to allege said Respondents' and Defendants' true names and capacities as soon as the same have been ascertained. Petitioner and Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner or form for the acts or omissions complained of herein and/or are otherwise liable for the damages herein alleged.

II.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction is proper in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles pursuant to Government Code Section 6268, California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1085, and Article VI, Section 1, of the Constitution of the State of California.
- Venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles pursuant to California Code of 7. Civil Procedure Section 393, because the acts and omissions complained of herein occurred in the County of Los Angeles.

III.

#### FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT OF ACCESS TO INFORMATION

- The California Public Records Act (the "Act" or "CPRA") is an indispensable 8. component of California's commitment to open government. The purpose of the Act is to give the public access to information that enables them to monitor the functioning of their government. The Act's fundamental precept is that governmental records shall be disclosed to the public, upon request, unless there is a legal basis not to do so.
- 9. Perhaps the most fundamental rule in the CPRA is the presumption of public access. Information requestors do not have to prove or even state a "need to know" to justify access. On the contrary, the government agency must justify not providing the information by citing the law, a statute or a case interpreting a statute. "In other words, all public records are subject to disclosure unless the Legislature has expressly provided to the contrary." (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 346.) "It's not our policy" or "We never give that out" is

not a legally sufficient response to a public records request, nor is anything else short of citing the law that bars or excuses the agency from providing access.

- 10. Government Code § 6254(f) states in pertinent part:
  - Notwithstanding any other provision of this subdivision, state and local law enforcement agencies shall make public the following information, except to the extent that disclosure of a particular item of information would endanger the safety of a person involved in an investigation or would endanger the successful completion of the investigation or a related investigation:
    - (1) The full name and occupation of every individual arrested by the agency, the individual's physical description including date of birth, color of eyes and hair, sex, height and weight, the time and date of arrest, the time and date of booking, the location of the arrest, the factual circumstances surrounding the arrest, the amount of bail set, the time and manner of release or the location where the individual is currently being held, and all charges the individual is being held upon, including any outstanding warrants from other jurisdictions and parole or probation holds.
- The request need not be in writing. Case law holds that the inquiry need not be in writing and may be made orally and by telephone. As observed by the California Court of Appeal, "It is clear from the requirements for writings in the same and other provisions of the Act that when the Legislature intended to require a writing, it did so explicitly. The California Public Records act plainly does not require a written request." (Los Angeles Times v. Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority (2001) 88 Cal. App. 4th 1381, 1392.)
- 12. The request need not identify the requestor. Nothing in the law precludes an anonymous request, and the CPRA requires identification (by a signed affirmation or declaration, respectively) only when the requestor is seeking information about pesticides (Government Code

2

3

7

12

10

14

18

23

21

27 28

26

- § 6254.2) or seeking the addresses of crime victims (Government Code § 6254, subd. (f), par. (3)). Legally, apart from the two situations noted above, an agency may not insist that the requestor be identified. Respondents and Defendants, and each of them, and their employees have repeatedly stated that it is their department policy to determine who is making a public records request in clear violation of the CPRA.
- The request need not state the requestor's purpose. Demanding to know the 13. purpose of the request or the intended use of the information is, again, not something the agency may do, apart from the pesticide and address provisions noted above. The CPRA states, in Government Code § 6257.5: "This chapter does not allow limitations on access to a public record based upon the purpose for which the record is being requested, if the record is otherwise subject to disclosure." Respondents and Defendants, and each of them, and their employees have repeatedly stated that it is their department policy to determine the purpose of a records request, alluding to vague safety or privacy concerns, which is in clear violation of the CPRA.
- Prompt access is required for clearly public records. Delay is allowed only to 14. resolve good faith doubts as to whether all or part of a record is accessible by the public. In the case of information such as that requested by Petitioner and Plaintiff which is clearly delineated in Gov. Code 6254(f) to be public information "notwithstanding any other provision", there is no need to make any "determination" as to whether or not the public should have access. Respondents and Defendants and especially those employees within their respective records departments who are tasked with providing the public with records, either know or should know, without question, the requested information is a matter of public record. That being the case, access is to be provided "promptly".
- Respondents and Defendants, and each of them, and their employees have repeatedly asserted that arrestees enjoy some sort of unarticulated "privacy rights" under which the requested records are exempt from the CPRA. Arrestees have no such privacy right against disclosure of the specific information requested by Petitioner and Plaintiff. Indeed the records disclosure policy employed by Defendants, and each of them, appears entirely random with some employees steadfastly maintaining they are prohibited from disclosing bits of information freely

available on their own websites. Still other employees offer to confirm or deny information regarding an arrest but refuse to provide that same information unless the requestor is able to guess the information.

#### IV.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 16. Petitioner and Plaintiff is informed, and believes, and therefore alleges that LAPD has engaged in a pattern and practice of violating the CPRA, including:
  - a. Withholding information public information;
  - b. Failing to make a proper written determinations of the alleged basis for withholding public documents in a response to CPRA requests;
  - c. Failing to respond, and/or untimely responding, to CPRA requests without production of documents, or without sufficient and requisite production of documents;
  - d. Ignoring the CPRA request along with the Petitioner's attempts to follow-up with on the status of the request.
  - 17. The LAPD website <a href="http://www.lapdonline.org">http://www.lapdonline.org</a> states:

"In 1968, the California Legislature enacted the California Public Records Act (CPRA) under Government Code (GC) sections 6250-6270. In its findings and declarations, mindful of the right of individuals' privacy, the Legislature declared it was the public's right to access information concerning the people's business."

The LAPD website goes on to detail it policies and procedures regarding the CPRA and directs public records requests to the Discovery Section at the following telephone number: "A public records request for information from the Los Angeles Police Department can be started by contacting the Los Angeles Police Department Discovery Section at 213-978-2100."

18. On May 16, 2016 at 2:20 p.m. AUSTIN telephoned the LAPD Records, at (213) 978-2100. AUSTIN spoke with "Adrianna" (Last Name Unknown) and asked for the location of arrest of Marcos Vargas, giving his age and booking number. Adriana asked where AUSTIN

26

27

28

19. On May 16, 2016 at 2:35 p.m. AUSTIN e-mailed the LAPD his CPRA request at discovery@lapd.lacity.org:

The LAPD arrested Marcos Vargas, age 31, on May 6, 2015 in Boron California. His booking number at LA County Jail is 4656533. Please provide me with the location of the arrest.

Ronald Austin

20. On May 16, 2016 at 2:44 p.m. the LAPD Legal Affairs Division Discovery Section responded:

Your request has been received by the Discovery Section and will be assigned to a staff member for a response. If you have any questions, please call (213) 978-2100 for assistance.

Thank you. Los Angeles Police Department Legal Affairs Division Discovery Section

21. The following day, on May 17, 2016 at 2:55 p.m. AUSTIN again telephoned the Discovery Section at at (213) 978-2100 and spoke with "Marriela" (Last Name Unknown).

AUSTIN gave Marriela the name, age and booking number of Marcos Vargas and asked her for
the location of his arrest. Marriela stated that the request must be in writing and that this
information would take three weeks to produce. AUSTIN then for a supervisor, and Marriela
stated that the supervisor was Greg Puliamos and that Mr. Puliamos was not in. AUSTIN left a
message on Mr. Puliamos voicemail.

- 22. On May 18, 2016 at 8:55 a.m. Greg Puliamos left AUSTIN a message to return his call at (213) 978-2178. AUSTIN returned Mr. Puliamos call later that day at approximately 2:50 P.M. AUSTIN gave Mr. Puliamos the name, age and booking number of Marcos Vargas and asked her for the location of his arrest. AUSTIN stated that he had already requested this information via e-mail and Mr. Puliamos stated that AUSTIN must await the e-mail response.
- 23. On May 23, 2016 at 1:55 p.m. AUSTIN again e-mailed the LAPD Legal Affairs Discovery Section at <a href="mailed-discovery@lapd.lacity.org">discovery@lapd.lacity.org</a>:

It's been a week. Is someone going to get back to me on this?

Ronald Austin

24. On May 24, 2016 at 10:51 a.m. AUSTIN received a reply from Debra Green at N1297@lapd.lacity.org:

Mr. Austin,

I'm working on your request. As soon as I get the information you requested, I will notify you.

Ms. Debra Green 213-978-2156

As of the filing date of the instant Complaint on June 6, 2016, 21 days has elapsed from the date that Petitioner's initial written inquiry was received by LAPD and AUSTIN has not received the requested information, which required that LAPD only conduct extremely minimal research in order to provide. On information and belief the location of an arrest by the Los Angeles Police Department is located in computerized records which is, and at all relevant times herein was, immediately available to all of the representatives AUSTIN communicated with at LAPD while he was speaking to those representatives. In fact, LAPD generates and sells computerized databases containing the exact information requested by AUSTIN to outside for-profit information vendors who market the information over the internet.

Within just days of Mr. Vargas' arrest, the location of Mr. Vargas' arrest in Boron became available for sale on the following website for five dollars:

https://www.localcrimenews.com/welcome/detail/17893062/marcos-vargas-arrest.html.

26. LAPD's own website recognizes that access to public records be "prompt" and that the 10 day period set forth in the CPRA applies only in those cases where it is not clear that the records requested are public records:

"Prompt access to public records is required by the CPRA (Government Code 6253). The 10-day period mentioned in the act is not a legal deadline for producing records. The 10-days allows the agency to review records, if it is not clear that they are public records. As soon as a determination is made, it will be at that time the records shall be released."

(http://www.lapdonline.org/i\_want\_to\_know/content\_basic\_view/36329)

Here, the location of an arrest is public information to be promptly provided "notwithstanding any other provision." AUSTIN is entitled to the same timely access to public records that is afforded to internet data brokers and members of the press who are not required to wait for over three weeks for a response to such a simple inquiry that can be provided verbally in seconds.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### **Declaratory Relief**

## Violation of California Public Records Act - Govt. Code § 6250, et seq. (Against All Defendants)

- 27. Petitioner incorporates the allegations of the preceding paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Petitioner is a member of the public and is personally interested in the outcome of these proceedings with a clear, present and substantial right to the relief sought herein. Petitioner has no plain, speedy and adequate remedy at law other than that sought herein.
- 29. A member of the public who believes that public records are being improperly withheld may bring suit for mandate to enforce the PRA. (See Govt. Code§§ 6258, 6259(a).) If

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 30. It was, and is, Respondents' duty to disclose public records and to provide a *timely* response to the request of public records pursuant to Gov. Code § 6253.
- 31. In order to facilitate prompt public access to public records, court orders either directing disclosure of public records or supporting an agency's decision of nondisclosure are immediately reviewable by an appellate court by way of an emergency petition seeking issuance of an extraordinary writ. (Cal. Gov't Code § 6259(c))
- 32. Respondents' failure to provide a proper response to Petitioner's Public Records Act Request violates the California Public Records Act, which provides: "public records are open to inspection at all times during the office hours of the state or local agency and every person has a right to inspect any public record." (Govt. Code 17 § 6253(a).)
- 33. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Plaintiff and Defendants concerning their respective rights and duties in that Plaintiff contends Defendants have violated the CPRA, whereas Defendants dispute these contentions and contend that they are not required to provide the requested information.
- 34. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order that Plaintiff may ascertain his rights and duties.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Writ of Mandate

#### (Against All Respondents)

- 35. Petitioner incorporates the allegations of the preceding paragraphs 1 through 34 as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. Respondents have a clear, present and sacrosanct duty to comply with the Constitution of the State of California. (Govt. Code § 6250, et seq.)
  - 37. Petitioner has performed all conditions precedent to filing this petition.
  - 38. Petitioner, and the general public, have been and continue to be harmed by

Respondents' improper refusal to comply with the CPRA and to produce, and respond in full, to requests pursuant to the CPRA.

- 40. The issuance of a writ of mandate pursuant to Gov. Code §§ 6528 and 6259 is indispensable to the enforcement of Petitioner's, and the public's, rights in these matters.
- Petitioner has no plain, speedy, and/or adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law with respect to this matter. As such, he is entitled to issuance of a writ. Gov. Code § 6528 requires expedited handling of CPRA writ petitions.
- 42. Petitioner has no administrative remedies to exhaust under Government Code § 6250, et seq.
- 43. Petitioner is entitled to recover its attorney's fees in this matter pursuant to Gov. Code § 6529(d) upon the successful prosecution of tins action. Petitioner is also entitled to full and complete compliance by Respondents to the subject requests addressed hereinabove.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner and Plaintiff prays for judgment by this Court as follows:

- 1. For the issuance of a peremptory Writ of Mandate directing Respondents to comply with the CPRA by making all requested documents available to Petitioner for inspection within ten days of this Court's order for production;
- 2. In the alternative, for the issuance of an order to Respondents to show cause why the Court should not issue such a writ;
- 3. For a declaration pursuant to Govt. Code § 6259 signifying Defendants have violated Plaintiff's rights under Government Code § 6250 et seq;
  - 4. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
  - 5. For all other relief the Court deems proper.

Dated: June 3, 2016

Brent J. Borchert, Esq.

Counsel for Petitioner and Plaintiff

26

27

28

I, Ronald Austin, declare:

- 1. I am the Petitioner and Plaintiff in the above-entitled action.
- 2. I have read the foregoing VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE
  PURUSANT TO CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT AND COMPLAINT FOR
  DECLARATORY RELIEF and know the contents thereof. The facts stated in the Petition and
  Complaint are either true and correct of my own personal knowledge, or I am informed and
  believe that such facts are true and correct, and on that basis I allege them to be true and correct.

**VERIFICATION** 

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 3, 2016, in Boron, California.

Ronald Austin

06/07/2016

•

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

				-
[	10:33:42 p.m. 06-03-2016 6	3107739230		
D	6/03/16 10:36PM PD	OT -> Cler.	of LA Superior Court 21362-3244 I	Pg 6/2

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar BRENT J. BORCHERT (SBN 223917)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
15260 Ventura Blvd Suite 1420		
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403	•	FILED
(210) 201 2625	(210) 772 0220	Superior Court of California
TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 991-8635	fax no.: (310) 773-9230	County of Los Angeles
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): RONALD AUSTIN	20 111001 00	2016
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L		JUN 0 6 2016
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		- Antique Officer/Clerk
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS ANGELES, CA		Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer/Clerk  Deputy
· ·	. 90012	Dopony
BRANCH NAME:		Shaunya Bolden
CASE NAME:	Danartmant at al	
Ronald Austin v. Los Angeles Police		CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	BS162685
Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	82102000
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
<u> </u>	ow must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type tha		
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)		(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3,400-3,403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	✓ Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (45)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is is not com		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		·
a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d. Large numbe	er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e. Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming	g to resolve in other coun	ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ry evidence f. D Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	. monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
		declaratory or injurictive relief ofpuritive
<ul> <li>4. Number of causes of action (specify): Of</li> <li>5. This case  is  is  is not a cla</li> </ul>		
	ss action suit.	may upo form CM 015 \
•	and serve a nouce of related case. (100)	may use form CNI-015.)
Date: June 3, 2016	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	D. R. Art
Brent J. Borchert	- Juxi	XI - DOWY
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the		ng (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or		les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cov	rer shoot required by local court rule	
		u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Tother parties to the action or proceeding.	,	
	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.

				<b>\</b>					
10:33:42 p.m. 06-03-2016	7	3107739	230	i					
					G	0 1	21362-5244	D~	7/99
C/A2/16 1A.361	าห	ארום>	Clers	Of LA	Superior	Court	Z1302 <del>00</del> 244	PK	1122

			_					<u>u</u>		 
SHORT TITLE: AUSTIN vs. LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.	CASE NUMBER	В	5	1	D	7	O	0	๋	

# CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is	required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new ci	vil case filings in the Los Angeles S	Superior Court.
	types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE?		☐ HOURS/ ☐ DAYS
Item II. Indicate t	he correct district and courthouse location (4 step	s - If you checked "Limited Case", s	kip to Item III, Pg. 4):
	rirst completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the C		
Step 2: Check	one Superior Court type of action in Column B	below which best describes the natu	re of this case.
	lumn <b>C</b> , circle the reason for the court location ch ny exception to the court location, see Local Rule		n you have
	Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthou	se Location (see Column C below	)
May be filed in     Location where     Location where	nust be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. central (other county, or no bodily Injury/property damage). cause of action arose. bodily injury, death or damage occurred, performance required or defendant resides.	<ol> <li>Location of property or permanently</li> <li>Location where petitioner resides.</li> <li>Location wherein defendant/respons</li> <li>Location where one or more of the position of Labor Commissioner Of</li> </ol>	garaged vehicle. dent functions wholly. parties reside. fice

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B .Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
0 t	Auto (22)	□ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	□ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
perty	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2. 1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
ıl İnjury/ Prop ngful Death	Product Liability (24)  Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) □ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4.
9 🎚 ि Other Persôna Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<ul> <li>□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)</li> <li>□ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)</li> <li>□ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress</li> <li>□ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death</li> </ul>	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

3107739230 -> Cler 06/03/16 10:36PM PDT

SHORT TITLE: AUSTIN vs. LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.

CASE NUMBER

		<del></del>	
	A Clvii Case Cover Sheet Category No	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
~	Business Tort (07)	□ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
opert) th Tor	Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
ıry/ Pr ıl Deal	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
nal Inji rongfu	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
ZO	Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
nent	Wrongful Termination (36)	□ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	<ul> <li>□ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)</li> <li>□ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)</li> <li>□ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)</li> <li>□ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)</li> </ul>	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
perty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	□ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
ā:	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
િ હેંમાંawfûl belalher	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
lawin	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
. <b>.5</b>	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	□ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

ب رون

10:33:42 p.m. 06-03-2016	10:33:42 p.m. 06-03-2016 9			)				
			0.7		T 4	C	A	•

06/03/16 10:36PM PDT -> Clerk of LA Superior Court 2136293244 Pg 9/22

AUSTIN vs. LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al. CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No:			B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	0	A6108	Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
iew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	0	A6115	Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	0	A6152	Writ - Administrative Mandamus Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	Ø	A6150	Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
ion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	0	A6003	Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
itigat	Construction Defect (10)		A6007	Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
nplex t	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	0	A6006	Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ly Cor	Securities Litigation (28)		A6035	Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	0	A6036	Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Prov	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	0	A6014	Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)		A6160 A6107 A6140 A6114	Sister State Judgment Abstract of Judgment Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
s s	RICO (27)	0	A6033	Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	0 0 0	A6040 A6011	Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	0	A6113	Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
@ 7 / / @ Mi§c@llaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	000000	A6123 A6124 A6190 A6110 A6170	Civil Harassment  Workplace Harassment  Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case  Election Contest  Petition for Change of Name  Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law  Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

	CASE NUMBER
AUSTIN vs. LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.	

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate under Column C for the type			ADDRESS: 100 West 1st Street
this case. □1. ☑2. □3. □4. □	5. □6. □7. ☑8. 〔	]9. □10.	
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
LOS ANGELES	CA	990012	
and correct and that the at	oove-entitled matter	is properly file	erjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true of for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the nia, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and	(d)].		
Dated: June 3, 2016	-		(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

### PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

06/07/2016